## Crystal and Molecular Structure of Mesembranol

By P. A. Luhan and A. T. McPhail,* Paul M. Gross Chemical Laboratory, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27706, U.S.A.

Single-crystal $X$-ray analysis has established the conformation of mesembranol (III). Crystals are monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1}$, with $Z=2$ in a cell of dimensions $a=13 \cdot 45, b=7 \cdot 84, c=7 \cdot 68 \AA, \beta=104 \cdot 6^{\circ}$. The structure was solved from photographic data by direct phase-determining methods, and the molecular parameters refined by full-matrix least-squares calculations to $R 0.082$ over 1598 independent reflections.

Previous crystal-structure analyses of 6-epi-mesembranol methiodide ${ }^{1}$ (I) and Sceletium Alkaloid $\mathrm{A}_{4}{ }^{2}$ (II) have defined the absolute configuration of mesembrine
${ }^{1}$ P. Coggon, D. S. Farrier, P. W. Jeffs, and A. T. McPhail, J. Chem. Soc. (B), 1970, 1267.
alkaloids and permitted the detailed conformations of these two compounds to be examined. In (I), the
${ }^{2}$ P. W. Jeffs, P. A. Luhan, A. T. McPhail, and N. H. Martin, Chem. Comm., 1971,1466 ; P. A. Luhan and A. T. McPhail, J.C.S. Perkin II, 1972, 2006.
presence of the quaternary nitrogen atom forced the cyclohexane ring to adopt a slightly twisted boat conformation in order to reduce non-bonded intramolecular

(I)

(III)

(III)
interactions, whereas the fusion of the pyridine moiety resulted in a half-chair conformation for the ring in (II). We now report on the preferred conformation of mesembranol (III) wherein the cyclohexane ring is free from such constraints and it is thus able to adopt a chair conformation.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Crystal Data.- $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{3}, M=290 \cdot 4$, m.p. 143- $145^{\circ}$, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-32^{\circ}$. Monoclinic, $a=13 \cdot 45(2), \quad b=7.84(1), \quad c=$ $7 \cdot 68(1) \AA, \beta=104 \cdot 6(2)^{\circ}, U=784 \AA, D_{\mathrm{m}}=1 \cdot 22, Z=2$, $D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.230, F(000)=314 . \quad \mathrm{Cu}-K_{\alpha} X$-rays, $\lambda=1.542 \AA$; $\mu\left(\mathrm{Cu}-K_{\alpha}\right)=6.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Space group $P 2_{1}\left(C_{2}{ }^{2}\right)$ from systematic absences $0 k 0$ when $k \neq 2 n$, and mesembranol is a chiral molecule.

Crystallographic Measurements.-Unit cell dimensions were evaluated from precession photographs taken with Mo- $K_{\alpha}(\lambda=0.7107 \AA)$ radiation and from $h 0 l$ Weissenberg photographs taken with $\mathrm{Cu}-K_{\alpha}$ radiation. Intensities were estimated visually from equi-inclination multiplefilm Weissenberg photographs of the layers $h 0-7 l$ taken with $\mathrm{Cu}-K_{\alpha}$ radiation. Spot-shape corrections and the usual Lorentz and polarization factors were applied, and 1598 independent observed structure amplitudes obtained. No correction was made for absorption.

Structure Analysis.-The structure was solved by direct phase-determining methods. Initial phases for a selected set of reflections with large $|E|$ values were determined by the symbolic addition procedure ${ }^{3}$ for non-centrosymmetric crystals. For the present analysis $3,0,6,|E|=3 \cdot 29, \phi=0$; $10,0, \overline{7},|E|=2 \cdot 91, \phi=0$; and $1,7,3,|E|=2 \cdot 48, \phi=0$, were selected as the origin-defining reflections, and symbols $a$ and $b$ were assigned to the phases of two other reflections $2,5, \overline{4},|E|=2 \cdot 41, \phi=a$; and $11,6, \overline{3},|E|=2 \cdot 67, \phi=b$. These 5 reflections were expanded into a set of 18 reflections for which $\left|E_{H} \cdot E_{K} \cdot E_{H-K}\right|>10 \cdot 0$. The values of $a(0 \leqslant a \leqslant \pi)$ and $b(0 \leqslant b \leqslant 2 \pi)$ were allowed to vary by increments of $\pi / 4$ and these were input to the tangent formula ${ }^{4}$ using a local version of the iterative program originally written by Drew ${ }^{5}$ and amended by Larson and Motherwell. ${ }^{6}$ The largest $200|E|$ values were refined for 7 cycles and 495 were refined for 5 cycles. In any cycle a phase assignment was rejected if the consistency index, $t=\sqrt{A^{2}+B^{2}}\left|\Sigma_{K}\right| E_{K}|\cdot| E_{H-K} \mid$ was $<0 \cdot 40$, and the value of $\alpha=\left|E_{K}\right| \sqrt{A^{2}+B^{2}}$ was $<6 \cdot 0$. At the end of the tangent

[^0]refinement cycles, inspection of the $R_{\mathrm{K}}\left[=\Sigma_{H} \|\left. E_{H}\right|_{\text {obs }}\right.$ $\left.\left|E_{H}\right|_{\text {cale }} / / \Sigma_{H}\left|E_{H}\right|_{\text {obs }}\right]$ values revealed that starting set $a=\pi / 4, b=-3 \pi / 4$ was one of the lowest with $R_{K}=0 \cdot 11$. This set also used a large number of $\Sigma_{2}$ values and assigned phases to a larger number of reflections than other sets. An $E$ map was computed from the phase angles derived from this set, and although it contained an almost exact pseudomirror plane of symmetry, the peaks could be interpreted to give a chemically reasonable model. The derived approximate atomic positions, with assumed $B 3.5 \AA^{2}$, were

Table 1
Fractional atomic co-ordinates * $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 8317(3) | 7550(-) | 5997(5) |
| C(2) | 8144(5) | 8165(9) | 7705(8) |
| C(3) | 8065(4) | 6621 (8) | 8820(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})$ | 8181 (3) | 5047(7) | 7681 (5) |
| C(4) | 9328(3) | 4450(8) | 8155(5) |
| C(5) | 9543(3) | 3261 (7) | 6747(6) |
| C(6) | 9351(3) | 4172(7) | 4942(5) |
| C(7) | 8237(3) | 4748(7) | 4340 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$ | 7883(3) | 5788(6) | 5760 (5) |
| C(8) | 7852(4) | 8662 (9) | 4488(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(9)$ | 9515(2) | 3094(6) | 3533(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{l}^{\prime}\right)$ | 7682 (3) | 2826(8) | 9701(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 7019(4) | 1593(8) | 10067(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $6178(3)$ | 1041(7) | 8755(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | 6020(3) | 1699(7) | 7019(6) |
| C( $5^{\prime}$ ) | $6661(3)$ | 2959(7) | 6649(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | 7498(3) | 3563(6) | $7999(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(10)$ | 5558(3) | -200(6) | 9183(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 4526(4) | 356(10) | 9078(7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 5213(2) | 1029(5) | 5736(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 5088(4) | 1523(8) | 3920(6) |
| $\mathrm{H}(2 \alpha)$ | 874 | 897 | 838 |
| $\mathrm{H}(2 \beta)$ | 742 | 886 | 743 |
| $\mathrm{H}(3 \alpha)$ | 867 | 665 | 1002 |
| $\mathrm{H}(3 \beta)$ | 734 | 658 | 914 |
| $\mathrm{H}(4 \alpha)$ | 981 | 554 | 827 |
| $\mathrm{H}(4 \beta)$ | 949 | 379 | 943 |
| $\mathrm{H}(5 \alpha)$ | 1033 | 286 | 714 |
| $\mathrm{H}(5 \beta)$ | 906 | 217 | 662 |
| $\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 985 | 525 | 510 |
| $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{a})$ | 706 | 587 | 532 |
| $\mathrm{H}(7 \alpha)$ | 814 | 549 | 313 |
| $\mathrm{H}(7 \beta)$ | 775 | 363 | 403 |
| $\mathrm{H}(8 \alpha)$ | 817 | 992 | 468 |
| $\mathrm{H}(8 \beta)$ | 706 | 866 | 440 |
| $\mathrm{H}(8 \gamma)$ | 801 | 806 | 334 |
| H(9) | 1026 | 290 | 370 |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{1}^{\prime}\right)$ | 832 | 322 | 1071 |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 714 | 110 | 1137 |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | 654 | 345 | 534 |
| $\mathrm{H}(11 \alpha)$ | 406 | -61 | 942 |
| $\mathrm{H}(11 \beta)$ | 425 | 82 | 776 |
| $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{l})$ | 462 | 137 | 1004 |
| $\mathrm{H}(13 \alpha)$ | 447 | 101 | 293 |
| $\mathrm{H}(13 \beta)$ | 591 | 118 | 362 |
| $\mathrm{H}(13 \gamma)$ | 501 | 288 | 393 |

* Hydrogen atoms, labelled according to the carbon atom to which they are bonded, have fractional co-ordinates expressed $\left(\times 10^{3}\right)$.
used to compute structure factors for which $R$ was $0 \cdot 423$. The positional and isotropic thermal parameters were then refined for nine cycles of full-matrix least-squares calculations which reduced $R$ to $0 \cdot 167$. At the end of this refinement the temperature factor for $C(11)$ was unreasonably large, suggesting that this atom had been misplaced too far in the original model for the least-squares to
${ }^{6}$ See e.g., O. Kennard, D. L. Wampler, J. C. Coppola, W. D. S. Motherweli, D. G. Watson, and A. C. Latson, Acta Cryst., 1971, $B, 27,1116$.
refine it into position. Structure factors were calculated omitting $C(11)$ which was relocated in a subsequent differ-ence-Fourier synthesis. A further three cycles of isotropic refinement reduced $R$ to $0 \cdot 127$. Evaluation of a threedimensional difference electron-density map indicated that there was significant density at all positions calculated for the hydrogen atoms assuming $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \quad 1.07 \AA, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \quad 1.0 \AA$. Inclusion of 24 hydrogen atoms with $B 4.0 \AA^{2}$ in the next structure-factor calculation decreased $R$ significantly to $0 \cdot 116$. Atomic positional and anisotropic thermal parameters of the non-hydrogen atoms were adjusted for five cycles of least-squares calculations which brought the refinement to convergence at $R 0.082$.

For all structure-factor calculations, scattering factors for neutral atoms were taken from ref. 7. During the least-squares calculations the $y$ co-ordinate of $\mathrm{N}(1)$ was held constant to define the space-group origin. The weighting scheme used in these calculations was $\sqrt{ } w=1$ for $\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right| \leqslant 5 \cdot 0$ and $\sqrt{ } w=\left|F_{0}\right| / 5 \cdot 0$ for $\left|F_{0}\right|>5 \cdot 0$. At the end of the refinement analysis of $\left\langle w \Delta^{2}\right\rangle$ in ranges of $\left|F_{0}\right|$ and $\sin \theta$ showed no systematic dependence on these values. $\dagger$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Final atomic positional and thermal parameters are given in Tables 1 and 2, and interatomic distances and angles in Table 3. The numbering scheme employed is shown in Figure 1 which illustrates the conformation

Table 2
Anisotropic thermal parameters * $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| Atom | $b_{11}$ | $b_{22}$ | $b_{33}$ | $b_{12}$ | $b_{13}$ | $b_{23}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)$ | $32(2)$ | $58(6)$ | $140(5)$ | $-2(5)$ | $46(5)$ | $-38(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $79(3)$ | $113(11)$ | $202(8)$ | $-5(10)$ | $124(8)$ | $-108(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $57(2)$ | $105(8)$ | $151(7)$ | $-44(8)$ | $91(6)$ | $-150(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 a)$ | $27(2)$ | $92(8)$ | $99(6)$ | $-6(6)$ | $22(5)$ | $-51(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $28(2)$ | $128(9)$ | $80(5)$ | $-11(7)$ | $6(5)$ | $26(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $32(2)$ | $98(9)$ | $131(7)$ | $32(7)$ | $26(6)$ | $41(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $24(2)$ | $96(9)$ | $95(6)$ | $20(6)$ | $29(5)$ | $-18(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $35(2)$ | $74(8)$ | $77(5)$ | $10(6)$ | $17(5)$ | $-11(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 a)$ | $24(2)$ | $64(8)$ | $106(6)$ | $-15(6)$ | $31(5)$ | $-4(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $50(3)$ | $108(10)$ | $225(11)$ | $24(9)$ | $30(9)$ | $98(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(9)$ | $37(1)$ | $142(7)$ | $115(5)$ | $38(6)$ | $28(4)$ | $-86(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $38(2)$ | $117(9)$ | $82(5)$ | $2(7)$ | $32(5)$ | $-2(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $48(2)$ | $110(9)$ | $91(6)$ | $36(8)$ | $43(6)$ | $34(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $48(2)$ | $68(8)$ | $113(6)$ | $0(8)$ | $67(5)$ | $0(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $34(2)$ | $92(8)$ | $105(6)$ | $12(7)$ | $40(5)$ | $-2(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $33(2)$ | $63(7)$ | $87(6)$ | $-9(6)$ | $7(5)$ | $4(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $24(2)$ | $68(8)$ | $92(5)$ | $6(6)$ | $19(5)$ | $-21(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(10)$ | $65(2)$ | $111(7)$ | $168(6)$ | $-29(7)$ | $81(5)$ | $73(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $61(3)$ | $214(14)$ | $182(8)$ | $-85(10)$ | $106(7)$ | $-17(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $53(2)$ | $140(7)$ | $102(5)$ | $-104(6)$ | $15(5)$ | $11(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $59(2)$ | $141(11)$ | $108(7)$ | $-69(9)$ | $13(7)$ | $15(15)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* These are the values of $b_{i j}$ in the expression: $B \sin ^{2} \theta / \lambda^{2}$ $=b_{11} h^{2}+b_{22} k^{2}+b_{33} l^{2}+b_{12} h k+b_{13} h l+b_{23} k l$. An isotropic temperature factor, $B 4.0 \AA^{2}$, was assigned to all the hydrogen atoms.
adopted by mesembranol in the crystal. The absolute configuration was defined earlier by our $X$-ray analysis of (I). Displacements of various atoms from leastsquares planes calculated through selected groups of atoms are presented in Table 4.

Torsion angles ${ }^{8}$ for the cis-octahydroindole ring system are provided in Figure 2. From these, and the

[^1]Table 3
Interatomic distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg.), with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| (a) Intramolecular distances |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1-470(7) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.531(6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$ | 1-492(5) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 1-392(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1-459(7) | $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $1 \cdot 393$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.501(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $1 \cdot 381$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.544 (7) | $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | 1-395(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.565(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(10)$ | 1-374(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.541 (6) | $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $1 \cdot 388$ (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.539(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $1 \cdot 373$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.510 (7) | $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.406(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.523(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1 \cdot 438(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.521 (6) | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1-416(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | 1-433(6) |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}(3) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \\ \mathrm{C}(4) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $3 \cdot 12$ | $\mathrm{C}(5) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 86$ |
|  | $3 \cdot 04$ | $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a}) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $2 \cdot 94$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(11) \cdots \mathrm{O}(12)$ | $2 \cdot 99$ |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{H}(3 \alpha)$ | $2 \cdot 80$ | $\mathrm{H}\left(5^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{H}(5 \beta)$ | $3 \cdot 43$ |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{1}^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{H}(3 \beta)$ | $3 \cdot 06$ | $\mathrm{H}\left(5^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{H}(7 \beta)$ | 2-12 |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{H}(4 \beta)$ | $2 \cdot 10$ | $\mathrm{H}\left(5^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{a})$ | $2 \cdot 02$ |

(b) Valency angles

| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$ | 105.5(4) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})$ | 103.5(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $112 \cdot 3(4)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 113.5 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 112.3(4) | $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $115 \cdot 4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 107.1(5) | $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | 120.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})$ | 106.8(4) | $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $121 \cdot 3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $110 \cdot 0$ (4) | $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $118.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | 111.4(3) | $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(10)$ | $118.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$ | 101.6(4) | $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(10)$ | 122.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | 109.3(4) | $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | 120.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$ | $109.8(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $116.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$ | $114.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 123.0 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $111.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $120 \cdot 8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $110 \cdot 1(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $119.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $110 \cdot 1(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $122 \cdot 4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | 112.8(4) | $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | 118.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | $105 \cdot 8(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 114.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $113.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 118.6 (4) |

(c) Intermolecular distances $<3 \cdot 60 \AA$

| $\mathrm{O}(9) \cdots \mathrm{N}\left(1^{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ | $2 \cdot 88$ | $\mathrm{O}(9) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 51$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(13) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(10^{\mathrm{II}}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 46$ | $\mathrm{O}(9) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(8^{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 51$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(9) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(6^{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 50$ | $\mathrm{C}(13) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(12^{\mathrm{II}}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 57$ |

Roman numeral superscripts refer to the following transformations of the co-ordinates of Table 1:

$$
\text { I } 2-x,-\frac{1}{2}+y, 1-z \quad \text { II } 1-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, 1-z
$$

Table 4
Displacements ( $\AA$ ) of some atoms from various planes. Atoms not included in the derivation of the plane are italicized
Plane $(A): \mathrm{N}(1)-0.004, \mathrm{C}(2) 0.006, \mathrm{C}(3)-0.005, \mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a}) 0.003$, $C(7 a) 0.582$

Plane $(B): C(3 a) 0.001, C(4)-0.001, C(6) 0.001, C(7)-0.001$, $C(5) 0.710, C(7 a)-0.544, O(9) 0.653$

Plane $(C): C\left(1^{\prime}\right) 0.017, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) 0.005, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-0.017, \mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right) 0.022$, $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right) 0.001, \mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-0.022, \mathrm{O}(10)-0.005, O(12) \quad 0.086, C(3 a)$ $-0.148, C(11)-1 \cdot 193, C(13) 0.271, C(3)-1.486$
displacements recorded in Table 4, the five-membered heterocyclic ring is shown to adopt an envelope conformation with C(7a) $0.582 \AA$ out of the plane through $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})$. This conformation differs from either of the half-chair forms found in (I) or (II). The cis-fused substituted cyclohexane ring has a chair conformation

[^2]slightly flattened at $C(7 a)$ as evidenced by the smaller mean torsion angle of $46^{\circ}$ around $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$ compared with the mean of $61^{\circ}$ around $\mathrm{C}(5)$. The hydroxy-group is equatorial to the ring and the 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl substituent is axially oriented.

The dihedral angle between the plane through $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ ( $\left.6^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{O}(10)$ and that through $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a}), \mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a}), \mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ is $5^{\circ}$, slightly smaller than the corresponding angle of $10^{\circ}$ in (II). In (III) the $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{a}) \cdots \mathrm{H}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ separation of $2.02 \AA$


Figure 1 Molecular conformation and atom numbering


Figure 2 Torsion angles (deg.) for the cis-octahydroindole rings
is close to that of $2 \cdot 17 \AA$ in (II) but is in contrast to $1.76 \AA$ found in (I). Thus, the $C(3 a)-C\left(6^{\prime}\right)-C\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ angles have approximately equal values in (II) and (III) whereas they differ significantly ( 116.8 and $125 \cdot 2^{\circ}$ ) in (I) to reduce non-bonded interactions.

From our analysis of (II) we noted that $C$ (3a) was displaced by $0.118 \AA$ from the mean plane calculated through $C\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\left(6^{\prime}\right), O(13), O(15)$, and we ascribed this to reduction in non-bonded interactions between the phenyl ring and hydrogen atoms on $\mathrm{C}(3)$ and $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$. In addition to these interactions in (III) there is present an $\mathrm{H}(7 \beta) \cdots \mathrm{H}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ interaction which is absent in (I), where the cyclohexane ring adopts a boat conformation,
and in (II), where the pyridine ring is fused at $C(6)-C(7)$. Consequently, in (III) while the phenyl ring is also bent back to reduce interactions, the bending is in the opposite sense (Table 4) to that observed in (II), where $C(3 a)$ and $C(3)$ were displaced to the same side of the corresponding plane.

A survey of the preferred geometries at methoxysubstituents on phenyl rings reveals that the oxygen and methyl carbon atoms generally lie close to the phenylring plane, and the angles $a$ and $c$ (IV) are enlarged from normal values while the angle $b$ is correspondingly reduced. These deformations occur in order to decrease

(IV)
the $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Ph}) \cdots \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Me})$ non-bonded interactions. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 , 9}}$ When methoxy-substituents are on adjacent carbon atoms these features are still maintained in general, and the $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ vectors bend toward each other. From the present analysis we find that these geometries are not all preserved in the dimethoxyphenyl group of crystalline mesembranol. The pattern of angles at one methoxy-group is consistent with the normal situation in which $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(12) 123 \cdot 0^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ $118.6^{\circ}$ are both enlarged while $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(12) 116.5^{\circ}$ is reduced from $120^{\circ}$. At the other methoxy-group, however, the pattern of angles is $b>a\left[\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(10)\right.$ $\left.122.4^{\circ}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(10) \quad 118.8^{\circ}\right]$ and the angle $c$ $\left[\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11) 114 \cdot 0^{\circ}\right]$ is normal. These values are a consequence of the fact that $C(11)$ is significantly displaced, $\Delta 1 \cdot 193 \AA$, from the phenyl-ring plane with the $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ torsion angle $114^{\circ}$. We ascribe this unusual geometry to crystal packing forces, for if the torsion angle were nearly zero then C(11) would be ca. $3 \cdot 2 \AA$ from $\mathrm{C}(13)$ on an adjacent molecule. By rotation out of the phenyl plane this separation is increased to a more normal van der Waals distance of $3.72 \AA$. With this orientation, the $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me})$ angle may assume a normal value and, with the removal of the unfavourable $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Ph}) \cdots \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Me})$ interaction, the $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})-\mathrm{O}$ (methoxy) bond is bent away from the other C-O bond to give an $\mathrm{O} \cdots$ O separation of $2.74 \AA$ in (III) compared to $2 \cdot 59 \AA$ in (II). Adoption of this orientation introduces a $\mathrm{C}(11) \cdots \mathrm{O}(12)$ intramolecular interaction which is relieved by bending the $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ bond to the opposite side of the phenyl ring plane from $\mathrm{C}(11)$ so that $\mathrm{O}(12)$ is displaced $0.086 \AA$ from the plane and gives a C(11) $\cdots \mathrm{O}(12)$ distance of $2.99 \AA$.

The mean $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)$ and aromatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ lengths ( 1.539 and $1.393 \AA$ ) agree well with the standard values ${ }^{10}$ $[1.537(5)$ and $1.394(5) \AA]$. The $C(3 a)-C\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ bond length $[1.539(6) \AA]$ is not significantly different from the corre-

[^3]sponding distance $[1.534(8) \AA$ ] in (II), and these bonds are significantly longer than the normal $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})$ length $[1.505(5) \AA]$ as a result of steric overcrowding in


Figure 3 The crystal structure, viewed in projection along $c^{*}$. Hydrogen bonds are denoted by broken lines
this region. The mean $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{N}$ bond length ( $1 \cdot 474 \AA$ ) agrees with the accepted value $[1 \cdot 472(5) \AA]$ for such bonds. The internal angle at the nitrogen atom $\left[\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a}) 105 \cdot 5^{\circ}\right]$ is significantly smaller than tetrahedral ( $109.5^{\circ}$ ) while the mean exocyclic angle is
$112 \cdot 3^{\circ}$. Mean bond lengths involving oxygen atoms, $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{O} 1.429$, and $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})-\mathrm{O} 1.374 \AA$, are close to the corresponding distances of 1.411 and $1.362 \AA$ in (II), and 1.426 and 1.371 in cryptopine. ${ }^{11}$

The crystal structure as seen in projection along $c^{*}$ is shown in Figure 3. Intermolecular distances $<3 \cdot 6 \AA$ are quoted in Table 3. The shortest of these [ $\mathrm{O}(9) \cdots \mathrm{N}(1)$ $2.88 \AA$ ] is typical for a hydrogen bond ${ }^{12}$ and the hydroxy-proton lies close to the line of centres, for the $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(9)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ angle is $109 \cdot 7^{\circ}$, and $\mathrm{O}(9)$ lies near to the local $C_{3}$ axis at the nitrogen atom, $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{O}(9) 102 \cdot 9$, $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{O}(9) \quad 120 \cdot 4$, and $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{O}(9) \quad 103 \cdot 1^{\circ}$. Other intermolecular separations correspond to normal van der Waals distances.

This analysis and the earlier studies on (I) and (II) show that despite the variations in conformation of the five- and six-membered cis-fused rings, the phenyl substituent consistently adopts an orientation such that non-bonded interactions are minimized and the $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ bond points over the $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$ bond [alternatively, $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{l}^{\prime}\right)$ is sandwiched between the hydrogen atoms on $C(3)$ and $C(4)]$.
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